Contribution ID: 0c771af8-c61f-48c9-b9fa-eebeda8e45f2

Date: 30/06/2025 11:53:03

# Digital product passport – rules for service providers

lds marked with * are mandatory.	ls marked with *
----------------------------------	------------------

#### Introduction

This consultation relates to the digital product passport (DPP) as set out in the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation[1] (ESPR). The ESPR sets out a framework to improve the environmental sustainability of products and to ensure free movement in the single market by setting ecodesign requirements that products must fulfil to be placed on the market or put into service.

The DPP will electronically register, process and share product information among supply-chain businesses, authorities and consumers, including on a product's sustainability and circularity. This information will improve the understanding and monitoring of supply chains and enable consumers to make well-informed choices based on sustainability criteria. DPPs will gradually be introduced for product groups placed on the EU market based on ESPR delegated acts and other applicable sectoral legislation. As a start, from 18 February 2027, DPPs will become mandatory for certain types of batteries.

To prepare for these first product groups to be covered, the Commission is working on a delegated act setting out the requirements for DPP service providers, in areas such as:

- information security and (information) services of DPP data that responsible economic operators entrust to DPP service providers;
- the financial viability of the DPP service providers to guarantee long-term access to DPP information; and
- assurance for businesses that DPP service providers comply with the requirements.

DPP service providers[2] will store and process DPP data on behalf of responsible economic operators (e. g. producers, importers, etc.) that decide not to provide these services themselves. For responsible economic operators that decide to host the DPPs themselves, the DPP service providers will store the DPP's mandatory backup copy. The Commission will carry out an impact assessment to assess potential options for the requirements, their possible effects, and the viability of putting in place a certification scheme to ensure compliance with the requirements. In setting out this framework, the Commission aims to help responsible economic operators comply with the ESPR requirements.

[1] Regulation (EU) 2024/1781 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 establishing a framework for the setting of ecodesign requirements for sustainable products, amending Directive (EU) 2020/1828 and Regulation (EU) 2023/1542 and repealing Directive 2009/125/EC. ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1781/oj.

[2] According to Article 2(32) of the ESPR, a digital product passport service provider is a 'natural or legal person that is an independent third-party authorised by the economic operator which places the product on the market or puts it into service and that processes the digital product passport data for that product for the purpose of making such data available to economic operators and other relevant actors with a right to access those data under this Regulation or other Union law'.

### About you

Bulgarian

Croatian

Czech

\*Language of my contribution

**Business association** 

Company/business

0	Danish
	Dutch
•	English
	Estonian
	Finnish
	French
	German
	Greek
	Hungarian
	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
	Spanish
0	Swedish
*I am	giving my contribution as
	Acadomic/research institution

Consumer organisation	
EU citizen	
Environmental organisation	
Non-EU citizen	
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)	
Public authority	
Trade union	
Other	
*First name	
Frank	
*Surname	
KOOS	
*Email (this won't be published)	
koos@eurowindoor.eu	
*Organisation name	
255 character(s) maximum	
EuroWindoor AISBL	
*Organisation size	
Micro (1 to 9 employees)	
Small (10 to 49 employees)	
Medium (50 to 249 employees)	
Large (250 or more)	
Transparency register number	
Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.	,
29749561729-18	

Please state whether you will be replying to the questionnaire as:

[\*] Regulation (EU) 2024/1781 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 establishing a framework for the setting of ecodesign requirements for sustainable products, amending Directive (EU) 2020/1828 and Regulation (EU) 2023/1542 and repealing Directive 2009/125/EC. ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1781/oj.

- a possible responsible economic operator (i.e. manufacturer, authorised representative, importer, distributor, dealer or fulfilment service provider)
- a possible digital product passport service provider (i.e. a natural or legal person that is an independent third party authorised by the economic operator which places the product on the market or puts it into service and that processes the digital product passport data for that product for the purpose of making such data available to economic operators and other relevant actors with a right to access those data under the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation [\*] or other EU law)
- any other value chain actor, such as a possible customer, professional repairer, independent operator, refurbisher, remanufacturer, recycler, market surveillance and customs authority, civil society organisation, researcher, trade union, the Commission, or any organisation acting on their behalf.

#### \*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

.,,0			inionioanon or onton arro	90,	it note and practices.		
	Afghanistan	0	Djibouti		Libya		Saint Martin
	Åland Islands		Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and
							Miquelon
	Albania	0	Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent
			Republic				and the
							Grenadines
	Algeria	0	Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
	American Samoa	0	Egypt		Macau		San Marino
	Andorra	0	El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
							Príncipe
0	Angola		Equatorial Guinea	a <sup>©</sup>	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
0	Anguilla		Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
	Antarctica	0	Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
	Antigua and	0	Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda						
0	Argentina	0	Ethiopia	0	Malta	0	Sierra Leone

	Armenia	0	Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
0	Aruba	0	Faroe Islands	0	Martinique	0	Sint Maarten
0	Australia	0	Fiji	0	Mauritania	0	Slovakia
0	Austria	0	Finland	0	Mauritius	0	Slovenia
0	Azerbaijan	0	France	0	Mayotte	0	Solomon Islands
0	Bahamas	0	French Guiana	0	Mexico	0	Somalia
0	Bahrain	0	French Polynesia	0	Micronesia	0	South Africa
0		0	French Southern	0	Moldova	0	
	Bangladesh		and Antarctic		Moluova		South Georgia and the South
			Lands				Sandwich
			Larido				Islands
0	Barbados	0	Gabon	0	Monaco	0	South Korea
0	Belarus	0	Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
0	Belgium	0	Germany	0	Montenegro	0	Spain
0	Belize	0	Ghana	0	Montserrat	0	Sri Lanka
	Benin	0	Gibraltar	0	Morocco		Sudan
	Bermuda	0	Greece	0	Mozambique		Suriname
0	Bhutan	0	Greenland	0	Myanmar/Burma	0	Svalbard and
					•		Jan Mayen
	Bolivia		Grenada	0	Namibia		Sweden
	Bonaire Saint		Guadeloupe	0	Nauru		Switzerland
	Eustatius and						
	Saba						
	Bosnia and		Guam	0	Nepal		Syria
	Herzegovina						
	Botswana		Guatemala		Netherlands		Taiwan
	Bouvet Island		Guernsey		New Caledonia		Tajikistan
	Brazil		Guinea		New Zealand		Tanzania
	British Indian		Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua		Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
0	British Virgin		Guyana		Niger	0	The Gambia
	Islands						
	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste
	Bulgaria		Heard Island and		Niue		Togo
			McDonald Islands	S			
6		0		(1)		6	

Burkina Faso  Burundi	Honduras  Hong Kong	Norfolk Island Tokelau  Northern Tonga
		Mariana Islands
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea Trinidad and
		Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedonia Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway Türkiye
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan Turks and
		Caicos Islands
Central African Republic	Iraq	Palau Tuvalu
Chad	Ireland	Palestine Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New United Arab
		Guinea Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay United Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines United States
Islands		Minor Outlying
		Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	Poland US Virgin Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia Wallis and
		Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda Western Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélemy Yemen
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia
		Ascension and
	0	Tristan da Cunha
_	_	_

Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

#### \*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

## Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

## Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Questions linked to providing and receiving digital product passport-related services

Specific questions to possible responsible economic operators

- \*Do you do business outside of EU?
  - I do business [my business partners / target audience is] only in the EU

7

outside of the EU	ne eu and
I do business [my business partners / target audience is] on	ly outside of the FU
Other (please explain)	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
* If you chose "Other", please explain.	
The business partners / target audience of windows and door manufacturers is mainly outside of the EU (e.g. Switzerland and Norway) and some companies are worldwide active.	
*What is your approximate % of market activity that is targeted out	side of the EU?
very small	
Please choose the option that best describes your situation.	oduct category?
	eady a responsible economic operator for a specific product category? ose the option that best describes your situation. a manufacturer an authorised representative an importer a distributor a dealer a fulfilment service provider none of the above (please explain)
I am an importer	
I am a distributor	
I am a dealer	
I am a fulfilment service provider	
I am none of the above	
Other (please explain)	
* If you chose "Other", please explain.	
EuroWindoor is a business association representing manufacturers of windows, door	s and curtain walling.
*What benefits and costs would you see for consumers and other groups deriving from the use of a digital product passport?	
Renefite: Facy availability of data, but often too late in the process as documentation.	ماطمانمین ممایر مصادر

after placing the products on the market and not in the tender phase of the project.

be required the cost is likely to be higher than if no such requirement is introduced.

Cost: That will depend a lot on the chosen requirements on the service providers. If 3rd party certification will

*How do you manage your IT services (e.g. financial information, product data, etc.)*  With an internal IT department only
Partly with an internal IT department and partly with an external service provider
With an external service provider only
Other (please explain)
* If you chose "Other", please explain.
The window sector has a very diverse structure, but is clearly dominated by SMEs, which often work with product-specific software from specialised software houses or system suppliers. A few large companies also have their own IT departments.
*Do you host your company data in cloud services (e.g. with Microsoft, Amazon, etc.)?
Yes
No
I don't know
*Do you audit your IT services?
© Yes
No
I don't know
*How likely is it that you will host your DPP data?
Very likely
Rather likely
Neutral
Not very likely
Highly unlikely
I don't know
* If a service provider is hosting the original DPP, what kind of measures should the

all users?

In your opinion, what should be the minimum level of DPP availability (within 365 days) that the service provider should offer?  Available (reachable) 99% of the time Available (reachable) 98% of the time Available (reachable) 95% of the time Available (reachable) 90% of the time Please explain your opinion about minimum level of availability	
It is usually not a problem for data centres to ensure this level of availability. High availability is needed not to stop manufacturing when DPP needs to be uploaded.	0
Please estimate what the financial impact on your business would be if your access to the DPP data were to fail e.g. at the moment of import, at the moment of sales, during a recycling process?  If a single event (please explain)  If a recurring event (please explain)  I don't know / cannot estimate  If you chose "recurring event", please explain.	
In the case of standard construction products for recurring events: loss of sale In the case of project specific configured construction products: no impact at all. Single event, annoying but not estimated to influence sale directly.	
Would you exchange data with a DPP service provider manually (e.g. via email and or manual operations in excel) or would you use an automated solution?  Manual  Automated  Both manual and automated	nd

Availability such as that of company websites is sufficient. Higher requirements are not necessary.

You can provide more details for your answer on manual/automated solution if you wish

According to the new Construction Products Regulation (CPR, Regulation (EU) 2024/3110), approximately 50,000 window manufacturers will have to create and upload around 76 million DPPs for windows each year in future (usually every single window needs a different DoPC and thus a separate DPP), which can generally only be done automatically. In individual cases at Micro Enterprises, manual input may also be preferred.

\* According to the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation[\*], responsible economic operators must make available a backup copy of the digital product passport through a DPP service provider that is an independent third party. Please indicate what kind of additional services you would be interested in receiving from the DPP service provider, beyond hosting your DPP or keeping the backup copy of your DPP(s)?

[\*] Regulation (EU) 2024/1781 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 establishing a framework for the setting of ecodesign requirements for sustainable products, amending Directive (EU) 2020/1828 and Regulation (EU) 2023/1542 and repealing Directive 2009/125/EC. ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1781/oj.

Creating the DPP
Creating the data carrier
Creating unique identifiers
Registering the DPP in the Digital product passport registry
Hosting (storing) the DPP
Querying services in relation to DPP data
Enabling updates of DPP information
Offering reporting tools and services

Other (please explain)

How would you rate the importance/relevance of the following elements /characteristics relating to the DPP?

	1 - Not important at all	2 - Less important	3 - Somewhat important	4 - Important	5 - Very important
* User-friendliness	0	0	0	0	•
* Data security	0	0	0	0	•
* Cyber resilience	0	0	0	0	•
* Access rights	0	0	0	0	•
* Support services	0	0	•	0	0

	* A wide(r) range of services (automisation, interconnections with other relevant tools, etc.)	0	•	©	©	0
	* Certifications	•	0	0	0	0
You	u can give additional explana	tion to the	previous c	question if y	ou wish.	
*Do	you currently apply any cybe	rsecurity[*	standard	s to your IT	solutions/t	ools
sys	Cybersecurity is defined as 'activities neaterns, and other persons affected by cyben ENISA (the European Union Agency for ersecurity certification and repealing Regard Yes	er threats', as Cybersecurity)	laid down in R and on inform	Regulation (EU) 2	2019/881 of 17 nunications tec	7 April 2019
(	<sup>®</sup> No					
(	I don't know					
*Wh	en using the DPP, what kind	of standar	ds do you	consider ne	ecessary fo	or
This usir Dat cycl	suring data security? Please resecuting the data integriting qualified digital signatures, or using enals security is generally understood as a ple to protect it against corruption, theft or rices, and user devices; (ii) access and a can consider any standards that you fir	y using a hash ncryption techrorocess of safe runauthorised dministrative c	ing mechanismiques when s guarding digita access. It cov ontrols; and (i	m like SHA-256, ecuring restricte al information th ers all: (i)hardwa ii)organisations'	securing data ed data. roughout its en are, software, so	ntire life storage
	We have no expertise to answer this.					
	you have any specific concer wnstream users such as repa  Yes	-	•	ata that will	be proces	sed by
(	□ No □ Ldon't know					

\*Please tell us about those concerns and how you would mitigate them.

Sometimes products fulfil e.g. anti-violence characteristics (like explosion or bullet resistance) what must be protected from unauthorised persons. Often, there is also project specific information on product performance included which belongs to contracting partners and perhaps to market surveillance only. Therefore, DPP data shall always be treated confidential and access to parts needs to be linked to specific authorisation for proven interest.

Questions linked to possible certification of DPP service providers					
*How important would it be for you that the DPP service providers are certified service providers?  Extremely important Rather important Neutral Rather unimportant Not important at all I don't know					
*What do you see as the added value of using a certified service provider rather than an uncertified one, or why do you think a certificate is not important?					
We do not see any reason that the service provider should have any higher legal obligation than the manufacturer.  For smaller companies without internal IT policies an IT security certification or similar can give trust to using the software.  For a specific DPP certification we could image a voluntary data infrastructure "certification" would be possible (fulfilling BIM, data dictionary standards etc.) and what could make a software provider relevant for big manufacturers compared to making their own system.					
*How far do you agree with the following sentence: 'I am willing to bear extra costs for using a certified service provider'?					
Fully agree					
Somewhat agree					
Neutral					
Somewhat disagree					
Fully disagree					

Other (please explain)

\* If you chose "Other", please explain.

	r some manufacturers this might be a route to go especially if you do not have so much expertise inhouse, t it should be up to each single manufacturer to make the decision so a certification should be voluntary.
*In you	ur opinion, what would be the most appropriate certification process for DPP
servic	e providers?
[1] DPF	e service providers would sign a self-declaration.
	service providers would be certified by a combination of self-declaration and certification by an accredited
	arty based on already-existing accreditation schemes.
	European Commission would be in charge of certifying DPP service providers.
	European Commission would oversee the accreditation of conformity assessment bodies, which will certify
	ervice providers.
conforn	certification would take the form of an accreditation – as the last level of public control in the European nity assessment system. This would imply that the accreditation of conformity assessment bodies is en by national accreditation bodies.
	Self-declaration[1]
0	Hybrid certification process based on self-declaration and certification by an
á	accredited third party[2]
	Certification of service providers by the European Commission[3]
0	Certification by conformity assessment bodies + accreditation of these bodies

Certification by conformity assessment bodies + accreditation of these bodies

\*Please explain why you consider the option you selected at the previous question

It should be possible that manufacturers or group of manufacturers can become their own service provider.

As often project specific confidential data is included in the DPP, the data shall always be treated confidential and access to parts needs to be linked to specific authorisation for proven interest.

But certification should be voluntary.

Other (please explain)

to be the most appropriate.

Final remarks

by the European Commission[4]

by Member States (national accreditation bodies)[5]

\*Please provide any further comments or additional information here:

A certification scheme should be voluntary to save burden and costs.

14

*Would you be intereste	ed in participating in a	targeted consultation?
-------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

- Yes
- No

Please upload any additional documents (e.g. position papers) to support your contribution to the consultation.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

#### Contact

GROW-DIGITAL-PRODUCT-PASSPORT@ec.europa.eu