Public consultation on new product priorities under the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation (ESPR)

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

1.1 Background to this consultation

A proposal for a Regulation on <u>Ecodesign for Sustainable Products</u> (ESPR) was adopted by the Commission in March 2022. The ESPR is a framework for setting ecodesign requirements on products to improve their circularity, energy performance and other environmental sustainability aspects. While the ESPR proposal provides a general framework for setting rules, the actual product requirements will be adopted only in a second stage. The Commission should therefore adopt and regularly update a working plan, setting out product priorities for which ecodesign requirements should be laid down.

The purpose of this questionnaire is to gather your views on what the new product priorities under the ESPR should be.

The questions build on preliminary assessments (see <u>here</u>) carried out by the Commission's <u>Joint</u> <u>Research Centre</u> (JRC), which has identified several product groups and horizontal measures that *may* be suitable candidates for prioritisation under the ESPR, once it enters into force. The responses of the public to this questionnaire will help the Commission to further refine this analysis, close information gaps and build consensus on future action under the ESPR.

A key methodological starting point for the JRC's preliminary assessments was **Article 16** of the <u>ESPR</u> <u>proposal</u>, which requires the Commission to prioritise products and horizontal measures based on a set of criteria pertaining in particular to the *potential contribution that could be made to the EU's climate, environmental and energy objectives*, as well as the *potential for improving* the product aspects identified by the proposal from an environmental point of view, *products' market share* and the *distribution of product impacts across the value chain*. Factors such as products' environmental, sustainability and circularity impacts, improvement potential from an environmental sustainability point of view, and as well as existing policy gaps and proportionality of costs related to the improvement potential were therefore amongst the considerations taken into account.

The ESPR proposal builds on the existing <u>Ecodesign Directive 2009/125/EC</u>, which currently covers energyrelated products only. It should be clarified that this consultation focuses on identifying 'new products' that are *not* currently within the scope of Ecodesign Directive 2009/125/EC. The future ESPR working plan will nevertheless cover both new and energy-related products. (Please note: the Commission will carry out a separate initiative to prioritise requirements for energy-related products, for which it will be necessary to take the progress in implementing the <u>Ecodesign and Energy Labelling Working Plan 2022-2024</u> into account.)

Given the wide scope of the ESPR, some products identified in this questionnaire will also be subject to separate product-specific or horizontal legislation at EU level. For these products, as a general principle the ESPR will only intervene when the environmental sustainability dimensions of those products cannot be fully and appropriately addressed by other instruments. For example for **chemicals**, ESPR could only take action where needed on aspects *not* dealt with under existing chemicals regulation (e.g. <u>Regulation (EC)</u> <u>No 1907/2006</u>); for **packaging**, it could only act where needed on *targeted aspects* specifically related to a packaged product covered by its measures, leaving <u>revised Packaging and Packaging Waste rules</u> to cover stand-alone packaging; for **construction products**, it could take action if the environmental sustainability dimensions of these products could not be fully and appropriately addressed by the <u>revised Construction</u> <u>Products Regulation</u> (with the exception of energy-related construction products, for which the ESPR will be the main regulatory instrument). As the ecodesign requirements that ESPR will set will help strengthen the EU's general circularity framework, including by improving product recyclability (including of the critical raw materials contained in products), it will also synergise with key pillars of <u>upcoming EU initiatives in the area</u> of **Critical Raw Materials** (CRMs).

The <u>JRC report</u> underpinning this consultation includes a preliminary assessment of product-specific legislation in this respect. However, a more precise assessment of the ecodesign requirements to be potentially laid down under ESPR, and their added-value in comparison with product-specific legislation, will be undertaken in a second stage, following adoption of the ESPR working plan, in the context of the preparatory studies foreseen. Questions on potential measures identified at this stage are therefore preliminary. Before adopting all rules under ESPR, the Commission will undertake thorough impact assessments and further consultations will also be held.

While the ESPR proposal is yet to be adopted by the co-legislators, and is therefore subject to further change, it is hoped that the results of this consultation will be able to feed into preparation of the new product priorities under the ESPR.

1.2 Structure of this questionnaire

You are invited to respond to the questionnaire regardless of your level of expertise. You can save your answers as draft and finish the survey later if necessary. The questionnaire is available in all languages. The questionnaire comprises the following sections:

- 'About you': general information about you, the respondent, to better understand your perspective
- End-use products: including general questions and questions per product group
- Intermediate products: including general questions and questions per product group
- Horizontal measures: including general questions and questions per horizontal measure
- Final remarks: allows you to provide final remarks and/or upload a document that you think is relevant to your views

Please note that all sections (with the exception of the 'About you' section) are optional: you can

choose which you wish to complete, depending on your main area(s) of interest.

If you have any questions, please contact ENV-PRODUCT-POLICY@ec.europa.eu

Your opinion matters and we are grateful to you for taking the time to complete this consultation. The results of the consultation will be published on Have your say.

For further information, please see the following background information:

- Consultation webpage
- JRC background work and analysis
- ESPR proposal
- ESPR impact assessment

About you

*Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian

- Spanish
- Swedish
- * I am giving my contribution as
 - Academic/research institution
 - Business association
 - Company/business
 - Consumer organisation
 - EU citizen
 - Environmental organisation
 - Non-EU citizen
 - Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
 - Public authority
 - Trade union
 - Other

Please specify your sector

- Agriculture,
- Ceramic products
- Chemicals and chemical products
- Computers, electronic and optical products
- Construction
- Cosmetics
- Electrical equipment
- Energy-related products, including ICT and electronics
- Fixtures (e.g. toilets and urinals)
- Food, feed and drink
- Forestry and fishing
- Furniture
- Hygiene products
- Materials (e.g. metals, plastics, paper)
- Office equipment
- Other [please specify]
- Packaging
- Retail & wholesale trade

Textiles and footwear

Transport equipment

(**For industry stakeholders**) Please indicate the type of **product** your organisation produces or represents:

- Absorbent Hygiene Products
- Agricultural products
- Aluminium
- Bed Mattresses
- Ceramic products (including toilets and urinals)
- Chemicals
- Construction products
- Cosmetic Products
- Detergents
- Energy-related products, including ICT and electronics
- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Food, feed and drink
- Furniture
- Glass
- Iron and Steel
- Lubricants
- Non-ferrous metals
- Office equipment
- Other (please specify)
- Packaging
- Paints and Varnishes
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Plastic and Polymers
- Textiles and Footwear
- Toys
- Transport equipment
- Tyres

(**For industry stakeholders**) Please indicate the level of the market(s) you are active on:

Local market

- Regional market
- 🗵 EU market
- Non-EU market
- Worldwide market
- Not applicable

* First name

Frank

*Surname

KOOS

* Email (this won't be published)

koos@eurowindoor.eu

*Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

EuroWindoor AISBL

*Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

29749561729-18

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
			Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samoa	a [©] Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guin	ea [©] Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Barbuda			
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	s 🤎 Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynes	ia [©] Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Souther	n 🦳 Moldova	South Georgia
	and Antarctic		and the South
	Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	a [©] Svalbard and
			Jan Mayen

Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and	Guadeloupe	INAULU	Owitzenand
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina	elean	. topal	Cyna
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory		. noa. agaa	
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands		3-	
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island an	d [©] Niue	Togo
	McDonald Island	ds	
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern	Tonga
		Mariana Islands	
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and
			Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedoni	a [©] Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Türkiye
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Republic			
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New	United Arab
		Guinea	Emirates
Christmas Island	🔍 🔍 Italy	Paraguay	United Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States

Cocos (Keeling) Islands	Japan	Philippines	United States Minor Outlying Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	Poland	US Virgin Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda	Western Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélem	y [©] Yemen
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da Cunh	а
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

A. END-USE PRODUCTS

'End-use products' are products sold directly to consumers and that are ready for their intended use upon sale (i.e. they do not require further [professional] manufacturing and/or assembly processes before being ready for use).

Based on the approach outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire, the following end-use products have been identified as <u>potentially</u> suitable for first action under the ESPR:

- Textiles and Footwear
- Furniture
- Ceramic products
- Tyres
- Detergents
- Bed Mattresses
- Lubricants
- Paints and Varnishes
- Cosmetic products
- Toys
- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Absorbent Hygiene Products

For each end-use product listed above, the **product scope** taken into account in the preliminary analysis outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire is set out in the dedicated sections underneath. These scopes remain subject to further change, including based on the results of this consultation.

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the identification of the following end-use products for p otential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Textiles and Footwear	۲	0	0
Furniture	0	0	۲
Ceramic products	0	0	۲
Tyres	0	0	۲
Detergents	0	0	۲
Bed Mattresses	0	0	۲
Lubricants	0	0	۲
Paints and Varnishes	۲	0	0
Cosmetic products	0	0	۲
Toys	0	0	۲
Fishing Nets and Gears	0	0	۲
Absorbent Hygiene Products	0	0	۲

2. Are there any <u>other</u> end-use products you believe should be added to this list?

100 character(s) maximum

3. The implementation of the ESPR and setting of ecodesign requirements will happen over time. Even among the products finally selected, an order of priority will need to be decided.

Please <u>rate the priority</u> of the below products from 1 to 3, with 1 denoting the lowest importance and 3 the highest:

	1 (low importance)	2 (medium importance)	3 (high importance)
Textiles and Footwear	0	0	۲
Furniture	0	0	0
Ceramic products	0	0	0

Tyres	0	0	0
Detergents	0	0	0
Bed Mattresses	0	0	0
Lubricants	0	0	0
Paints and Varnishes	0	0	۲
Cosmetic products	0	0	0
Toys	0	0	0
Fishing Nets and Gears	0	0	0
Absorbent Hygiene Products	O	0	0

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL END-USE PRODUCT GROUPS

Please select the end-use product group(s) on which you would like to provide input:

- All 12 end-use product groups identified
- Textiles and Footwear
- Furniture
- Ceramic products
- Tyres
- Detergents
- Bed Mattresses
- Lubricants
- Paints and Varnishes
- Cosmetic products
- Toys
- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Absorbent Hygiene Products

TEXTILES AND FOOTWEAR

Product scope: Apparel and home/interior textiles (e.g. bedlinen, towels, tablecloths, curtains etc.) consumed by households, and similar products consumed by government and business (e.g. uniforms and workwear used by all public and private sectors, bedlinen and towels etc. consumed by hotels, restaurants, healthcare services etc.) and technical textiles usually or also meant for consumers (such as truck covers, cleaning products) or specifically meant for industry (automotive, construction, medical, agriculture, etc.) **+** footwear

Excluded from the scope in preliminary analysis: Products for which textile components constitute less than 80% by weight of the product (e.g. furniture, carpets mainly made of plastics, duvets, pillows) and leather, unless incorporated in footwear

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. Within the product group of textiles and footwear, <u>should some product</u> sub-groups be considered as higher priority for regulation than others?

- Apparel
- Footwear
- Home/interior textiles (e.g. bed linen, towels, curtains)
- Technical textiles not included in the above-mentioned scope (e.g. textiles for automotive applications; agrotextiles)
- Other

3. Textiles and footwear products can be very different from one another. In order to be able to set effective requirements under the ESPR, the appropriate level at which to do so needs to be chosen.

At what level do you believe ecodesign requirements for textiles and footwear should be laid down?

at most 1 choice(s)

- Across all textile products (i.e. applicable to all products containing at least 80% by weight of textile fibres)
- At the level of specific sub-groups within this product group (for example, 'apparel', or 'household textiles')
- At the level of individual articles (i.e. at 't-shirt' level, or at 'jeans' level)
- Based on the material used (i.e. for 'cotton textiles')
- Based on product purpose/functionality (i.e. for 'outdoor wear'; 'everyday wear', etc.)
- Other

4. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of textiles and footwear products, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

- Yes
- 🔍 No
- No opinion

5. For textiles, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

6. Do you wish to add comments on textiles and footwear?

500 character(s) maximum

PAINTS AND VARNISHES

Product scope: Products falling under the scope of the Directive 2004/42/EC ('the Paints Directive') for paints and varnishes. Paints and varnishes means coatings applied to buildings, their trim and fittings, and associated structures for decorative, functional and protective purpose. Note that vehicle refinishes also fall under the scope of the Paints Directive. Vehicle refinishes are used for the coating of road vehicles as defined in Directive 70/156/EEC, or part of them, carried out as part of vehicle repair, conservation or decoration outside of manufacturing installations.

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

I agree with the product scope description as set out above

- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. Paints and varnishes can be very different from one another. In order to be able to set effective requirements under the ESPR, the appropriate level at which to do so needs to be chosen.

At what level do you believe ecodesign requirements for paints and varnishes should be laid down?

at most 1 choice(s)

- Across all paints and varnishes (i.e. applicable at general level to all products falling within this product group)
- At the level of individual articles (e.g. requirements specifically for 'decorative paint')
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of paints and varnishes, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

4. For paints and varnishes, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on paints and varnishes?

500 character(s) maximum

B. INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTS

'Intermediate products' are products placed on the market as final products, but which require further (professional) manufacturing and/or assembly processes before being ready for their end-use.

Based on the approach outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire, the following intermediate products have been identified as <u>potentially</u> suitable for first action under the ESPR:

- Iron and Steel
- Non-Ferrous Metals
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Plastic and Polymers
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Glass

For each intermediate product listed above, the **product scope** taken into account in the preliminary analysis outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire is set out in the dedicated sections underneath. These scopes remain subject to further change, including based on the results of this consultation.

I. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTS

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the identification of the following intermediate products for potential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Iron and Steel	۲	0	0
Non-Ferrous Metals	۲	0	0
Aluminium	۲	0	0
Chemicals	۲	0	0
Plastic and Polymers	۲	0	0
Pulp Paper and Boards	۲	0	0
Glass	۲	0	0

2. Are there any <u>other</u> intermediate products you believe should be added to this list?

100 character(s) maximum

3. The implementation of the ESPR and setting of ecodesign requirements will happen over time. Even among the products finally selected, an order of priority will need to be decided.

Please <u>rate the priority</u> of the below products from 1 to 3, with 1 denoting the lowest importance and 3 the highest:

	1 (low importance)	2 (medium importance)	3 (high importance)
Iron and Steel	0	0	۲
Non-Ferrous Metals	0	۲	0
Aluminium	0	0	۲
Chemicals	0	0	۲
Plastic and Polymers	0	0	۲
Pulp Paper and Boards	۲	0	0
Glass	O	0	۲

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL INTERMEDIATE PRODUCT GROUPS

Please select the intermediate product group(s) on which you would like to provide input:

- All 7 intermediate product groups identified
- Iron and Steel
- Non-Ferrous Metals
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Plastic and Polymers
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Glass

Iron and Steel

Product scope: Iron and steel. Steel is an alloy of iron and carbon, where the carbon content can range up to 2% (when the carbon content is over 2%, the material is defined as cast iron).

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. How do you believe iron and steel could best be regulated under the ESPR?

at most 1 choice(s)

- As an intermediate product
- By regulating their use in end-use products/applications
- Both ways
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of iron and steel, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

4. For iron and steel, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on iron and steel?

500 character(s) maximum

NON-FERROUS METALS

Product scope: This includes seven primary and secondary non-ferrous metals: copper, lead and/or tin, zinc and/or cadmium, precious metals, ferro-alloys, nickel and/or cobalt, carbon and graphite electrodes.

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. <u>How</u> do you believe non-ferrous metals could best be regulated under the ESPR?

at most 1 choice(s)

- As an intermediate product
- By regulating their use in end-use products/applications
- Both ways
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of non-ferrous metals, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

4. For non-ferrous metals, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency

- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on non-ferrous metals?

500 character(s) maximum

ALUMINIUM

Product scope: Aluminium and its alloys.

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. How do you believe aluminium could best be regulated under the ESPR?

at most 1 choice(s)

- As an intermediate product
- By regulating their use in end-use products/applications
- Both ways
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of aluminium, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

Yes

No

No opinion

4. For aluminium, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

```
at most 3 choice(s)
```

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on aluminium?

500 character(s) maximum

CHEMICALS

Product scope: ammonia, nitric acid, sulphuric acid, phosphoric acid and hydrofluoric acid. Basic inorganic chemicals: caustic soda and soda ash (called sodium carbonate, including sodium bicarbonate), titanium dioxide (from the chloride and sulphate process routes), synthetic amorphous silica (pyrogenic silica, precipitated silica, and silica gel). Large volume organic chemicals: lower olefins by the cracking process, aromatics such as benzene/toluene/xylene (BTX), oxygenated compounds such as ethylene oxide, ethylene glycols and formaldehyde, nitrogenated compounds such as acrylonitrile and toluene diisocyanate, halogenated compounds such as ethylene dichloride (EDC) and vinyl chloride monomer (VCM), sulphur and phosphorus compounds and organo-metallic compounds.

Excluded from the scope in preliminary analysis: speciality inorganic pigments (such as silicone, phosphorus compounds, inorganic explosives, cyanides, soluble inorganic salts of nickel) and organic fine chemicals (dyes and pigments, plant health products and biocides, pharmaceutical products, organic explosives, organic intermediates, specialised surfactants, flavours and fragrances, pheromones, plasticisers, vitamins, optical brighteners and flame-retardants).

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. How do you believe chemicals could best be regulated under the ESPR?

at most 1 choice(s)

- As an intermediate product
- By regulating their use in end-use products/applications (e.g. paints, detergents, etc.)
- Both ways
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of chemicals, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

4. For chemicals, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on chemicals?

500 character(s) maximum

PLASTIC AND POLYMERS

Product scope: Plastic is a polymeric material that has the capability of being moulded or shaped, usually by the application of heat and pressure. It usually contains polymers and additives that give additional properties to the mixture. The scope is plastic basic materials, synthetic rubbers and hydrocarbons containing oxygen.

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. <u>How</u> do you believe plastic and polymers could best be regulated under the ESPR?

at most 1 choice(s)

- As an intermediate product
- As an intermediate product for specific types of plastics and polymers (e.g. polyethylene)
- By regulating its use in end-use products/applications
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of plastic and polymers, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

4. For plastic and polymers, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency

- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on plastic and polymers?

500 character(s) maximum

PAPER, PULP PAPER AND BOARDS

Product scope: Pulp, paper and board (chemical, kraft, sulphite, mechanical and chemi-mechanical pulping, recovered paper processing and papermaking).

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. <u>How</u> do you believe paper, pulp paper and boards could best be regulated under the ESPR?

at most 1 choice(s)

- As an intermediate product
- By regulating their use in in end-use products/applications
- Both ways
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u>

addressing the environmental sustainability aspects of paper, pulp paper and boards compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

4. For paper pulp paper and boards, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

Improving durability and reliability

- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on paper, pulp paper and boards?

500 character(s) maximum

GLASS

Product scope: Container glass, flat glass, continuous filament glass fibre, domestic glass, special glass, mineral wool, high temperature insulation wools and frits.

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

2. How do you believe glass could best be regulated under the ESPR?

at most 1 choice(s)

- As an intermediate product
- By regulating their use in in end-use products/applications
- Both ways
- Other

3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of glass compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?

Yes

No No opinion

4. For glass, which of the following <u>products aspects</u> do you believe will be the most important to regulate under ESPR?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Improving durability and reliability
- Ease of repair and maintenance
- Ease of recycling of materials
- Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
- Resource use or resource efficiency
- Use or content of recycled materials
- Presence of substances of concern
- Energy use or energy efficiency
- The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
- Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
- Other

5. Do you wish to add comments on glass?

500 character(s) maximum

C. HORIZONTAL MEASURES

The ESPR proposal includes the possibility, when needed, of setting horizontal measures – in other words, cross-cutting measures applicable to two or more product groups sharing common characteristics.

Based on the preliminary analysis described in the main introduction to this questionnaire, five areas for horizontal measures which may be suitable candidates for prioritisation under the ESPR have been identified: Durability, Recyclability, Post-Consumer Recycled Content, Lightweight Design, and Sustainable Sourcing. After assessment, three of these aspects were retained for consultation:

- **Durability**: for the purposes of this consultation, 'durability' covers the aspects of 'reliability', 'reparability', 'reusability' and 'upgradability'.
- **Recyclability**: for the purposes of this consultation, 'recycling' means recovery operations of any kind via which waste materials are reprocessed into products, materials or substances, whether for the original or other purposes, excluding energy recovery.
- **Post-Consumer Recycled Content**: for the purposes of this consultation, 'post-consumer recycled content' refers to the amount of post-consumer recycled material that goes into the manufacturing of a new product.

The remaining two (Lightweight Design and Sustainable Sourcing) require further elaboration before drafting of the ESPR working plan, and are therefore not covered by this questionnaire.

The three horizontal measures retained for consideration are accompanied by sets of suggested provisions via which they could be concretely implemented. While this approach would entail alignment of certain definitions, principles, regulatory formulations and verification procedures, the exact content of the provisions could differ and be adapted, depending on the characteristics of the product categories to which they would apply.

I. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON HORIZONTAL MEASURES

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the horizontal measures identified for potential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Durability	۲	0	0
Recyclability	۲	0	0
Post-Consumer Recycled Content	۲	0	0

2. Are there any <u>other</u> horizontal measures you believe should be added to this list?

- Yes
- No

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL HORIZONTAL MEASURES

Please select the horizontal measure(s) on which you would like to provide input:

- All 3 horizontal measures identified
- Durability
- Recyclability
- Post-Consumer Recycled Content

Durability

For the purposes of this consultation, 'durability' covers the aspects of '*reliability*', '*reparability*', '*reusability*' and '*upgradability*'

1. Do you believe there are products with characteristics that are <u>similar</u> <u>enough</u> to enable horizontal <u>durability</u> measures to be developed for them? Yes
No

2. If yes, please indicate <u>one set of products</u> with characteristics that are similar enough to enable horizontal durability measures to be developed for them:

- Absorbent Hygiene Products
- Bed Mattresses
- Ceramic products
- Cosmetic Products
- Detergents
- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Furniture
- Lubricants
- Paints and Varnishes
- Textiles
- Toys
- Tyres
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Glass
- Iron and Steel
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Plastic and Polymers
- Non-ferrous metals
- Transport equipment
- Energy-related products including ICT and electronics
- Other (please specify product)
- Animal care products
- Products containing Critical Raw Materials
- ADD ANOTHER SET OF PRODUCTS

3. Do you <u>disagree</u> with any of the potential horizontal provisions that could be developed in the area of durability?

Disagree

Minimum lifetime and labelling	0
Resistance to stresses or ageing mechanisms (<i>e.g. resistance to drop/shock /abrasion; ingress protection</i>)	0
Minimum durability of function (e.g. water repellence, colour fastness, dimensional stability)	0
Introduction of a reparability scoring index/label	0
Availability of repair (+upgrade) information and maintenance instructions to independent operators and/or end users	0
Spare part (and software upgrade) availability and delivery time	0
Disassembly generally or related to Tools, Fasteners, Working Environment and Skill Level	0
Use of component and material coding standards for the identification of components and materials	0
Use of standard components / Compatibility with commonly available spare parts	۲
Number of materials and components used	۲
Modularity/Transformability; detachable/adjustable elements	0

4. Do you wish to add comments on durability?

500 character(s) maximum

RECYCLABILITY

For the purposes of this consultation, 'recycling' means recovery operations of any kind via which waste materials are reprocessed into products, materials or substances, whether for the original or other purposes, excluding energy recovery

1. Do you believe there are products with characteristics that are similar

enough to enable horizontal recyclability measures to be developed for them?

- Yes
- No

2. If yes, please indicate <u>products</u> with characteristics that are similar enough to enable horizontal recyclability measures to be developed for them:

- Absorbent Hygiene Products
- Bed Mattresses
- Ceramic products
- Cosmetic Products
- Detergents

- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Eurniture
- Lubricants
- Paints and Varnishes
- Textiles
- Toys
- Tyres
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Glass
- Iron and Steel
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Plastic and Polymers
- Non-ferrous metals
- Transport equipment
- Energy-related products including ICT and electronics
- Other (please specify product)
- Animal care products
- Products containing Critical Raw Materials
- ADD ANOTHER SET OF PRODUCTS

3. Do you <u>disagree</u> with any of the potential horizontal provisions that could be developed in the area of recyclability?

	Disagree
Ability to easily separate the product into different materials	0
Choice of materials and restrictions on substances (<i>e.g. choice and combination of polymers; homogeneous fibres</i>)	0
Access to product data relevant for recycling, including dismantling information (<i>e.g. marking</i> of parts and materials, use of component and material coding standards, indicative weight range of different materials including CRMs and environmentally relevant materials, hardware and software needed for the recycling process changes)	©
Introduction of a recyclability scoring index/label	0

4. Do you wish to add comments on recyclability?

500 character(s) maximum

For the purposes of this consultation, 'post-consumer recycled content' refers to the amount of postconsumer recycled material that goes into the manufacturing of a new product

1. Do you believe there are products with characteristics that are similar <u>enough</u> to enable horizontal <u>post-consumer recycled content</u> measures to be developed for them?

- Yes
- No

2. If yes, please indicate <u>products</u> with characteristics that are similar enough to enable horizontal post-consumer recycled content measures to be developed for them:

- Absorbent Hygiene Products
- Bed Mattresses
- Ceramic products
- Cosmetic Products
- Detergents
- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Furniture
- Lubricants
- Paints and Varnishes
- Textiles
- Toys
- Tyres
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Glass
- Iron and Steel
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Plastic and Polymers
- Non-ferrous metals
- Transport equipment
- Energy-related products including ICT and electronics
- Other (please specify product)

Animal care products

Products containing Critical Raw Materials

ADD ANOTHER SET OF PRODUCTS

3. Do you <u>disagree</u> with any of the potential horizontal provisions that could be developed in the area of post-consumer recycled content?

	Disagree	
Provisions on minimum content of post-consumer recycled material expressed either as a		
fraction of the total material input (in %) or in absolute numbers (kg per unit; million tonnes Mt	\odot	
in aggregates)		

4. Do you wish to add comments on post-consumer recycled content?

500 character(s) maximum

D. FINAL REMARKS

If you wish to add further information or elaborate on your views, please do so here:

1000 character(s) maximum

Above horizontal provisions are scalable, and the right level and the right provision depends on the product type in scope. Care must be taken to balance the level of detail and specific content to the given product type in scope. It is important that the level of detail of information to be provided shall be limited to what is needed for the practical use of the information.

If you wish to upload a supporting document, please do so here:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Contact ENV-PRODUCT-POLICY@ec.europa.eu